


A WEEKLY COMMENTARY



ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Vol. 61 No. 48

5th December 2025

IN THIS ISSUE

When Mercury Isn't a Poison By Neville Archibald	1
Supporting Documentation: What toxins are linked to autism?	7
Father Coughlin: It's Time to Clear His Name By Mark Anderson	14
An Aberhart Broadcast <i>The Social Creditor</i> Saturday, June 26, 1943	18

When Mercury Isn't a Poison By Neville Archibald

When it comes to waking up a population to the dangers of over-government, it is not too difficult. They will believe in government inefficiency, in government over-regulation and in government corruption on many different levels, without too much fuss. The very idea that there are some involved that are self serving is not in question. They will simply reply, 'but that is just government!'

When we take it further; however, and suggest that someone or something is behind all this, the jitters begin, the speech falters, the eyes glaze and the conspiracy word comes out. Quite often you will be told you are mad, crazy or deluded to believe such rot! Until each person individually is effected enough to take it seriously, it will remain a lesser worry than Friday night football. That there are always problems in politics would seem to be a part of life, as is the idea of someone getting rich off a scam. The biggest wake up call of all is damage or involvement of someone close to them, usually someone getting hurt. It seems it is easier to get off the couch when someone close is in need, than when someone nearby rips someone else off. Confronting others in their 'bad' actions is unpleasant – sympathy is easily given.

This is the response we need to change! Sympathy is empty, when some sort of follow up action does not occur, justice is needed to restore our faith in community, or otherwise this faith, the glue that holds us together, is eroded. Eventually we will run out of stored collective compassion, and all hell will break loose. Everyone will go their own way and deal with their own issues without a civilized structure behind them. This is called Anarchy, and is a direct reaction to that breakdown in trust of the system that occurs when

governments head towards control of every little thing in our lives.

This control, corruption and empire building alienates those of independent spirit and they are joined by those who find themselves at odds with, or in a fight with some part of this corruption of process.

Before we get to this point, we do have the ability to stop it, we just need the will and the numbers to be effective. This is where waking the sleeping population becomes crucial. I feel like I am saying this in nearly every article I write, yet it is the only way I can see to make that change without the pain that will happen if we let it go too far. Like the mad dash to work when you are late, the later you are the more risks or shortcuts you may be prepared to take. But this isn't just a day at work, it is the lives and futures of all those around us and all those to come. What will they inherit if we do not act?

I have always promoted critical thinking as the correct method of seeing the truth. Having fact and the knowledge of how to use it is undeniably the best way of imparting truth. Relying on the system we have always relied on, does not work when this system has shown itself to be less than honest in the past. How to bring this point home and ask for real change, will only come about when these two things come together. Until then each separate problem and it's underlying truth, will remain separate.

One big truth is currently unfolding in front of us. It has the potential to be the biggest wake up call in a long, long time. It started many years ago and has been brewing since then. I first came across it in the mid nineties and have followed it since. The current US political scene is going through parts of it now, but the truth is being confused by name calling, denial (both of facts and belief) and the usual 'help' of the entrenched bureaucracy who have various reasons for not wanting this truth to ever be examined. We are talking about the declining health of a nation and whether it could be related in some way to vaccine damage. While many point to poor food choices, pollution and bad lifestyle, the fact that every child:

'Now, children could receive as many as 27 shots by 2 years of age and up to six shots in a single visit.'

<https://www.chop.edu/vaccine-education-center/science-history/vaccine-history/developments-by-year>

In Australia this is closer to 18 by age 18 months. Many of them are multi-dose (hexavalent or up to 6 in one).

<https://www.betterhealth.vic.gov.au/health/healthyliving/immunisation-childhood#immunisation-schedule-for-victorian-babies-and-young-children>

This rise in number of injections, started since the 70s, almost at the same rate as our turnaround in health outcomes, it means it is a legitimate question to ask. Just what do the studies say?

This is a controversial subject and has many upset by even raising the question. Our faith in medical science has become worse than a religion, to question even the most basic fundamentals leads to almost a burning at the stake. Sackings, de-funding and/or removal of tenure in teaching positions, just for examining this possibility, has been the outcome for many since the rise of COVID (but sadly even before).

My starting point today is a recent article in the *Herald Sun* 1st December. Entitled, 'Surge on spectrum', and 'Autism support is not working'.

These entire articles are an interesting read, they deal with some very alarming figures. 1 in 27 children will suffer from some form of Autism, surging 35% in the past four years. A life long affliction with varying degrees of debilitation. Once a rare condition, linked more with risks during pregnancy and childbirth (due to mothers exposure to toxins, although some genetics perhaps), it is now almost commonplace and, alarmingly, some perfectly healthy babies now seem to regress into it before they leave infancy.

The article (along with a number of others in other papers) devotes most of it's time discussing costs to the system, the awareness of it and how we need to deal with it (these costs), going forward. It appears that we are just seeing more of it now because we are looking harder, because funding for help is available to those diagnosed. The actual reasons for it are barely, if at all mentioned. Surely the biggest concern here should be it's alarming rise and the reason it is occurring, not the costs?

It is this rise that I wish to discuss, not just the terrible financial cost, what about our children, our future if this trend continues upward?

If you look at the potential causes of Autism, you must look to what it is. In short, a developmental disorder with varying degrees of severity. The NIH lists genetic mutations, air pollution, nutrition of the mother, older mothers and environmental toxins as possible things that may be a part of it, but almost unequivocally says NO LINK TO VACCINES. *'No link has been found between autism and vaccines, including those containing thimerosal, a mercury-based compound.'*

<https://www.niehs.nih.gov/health/topics/conditions/autism>

They cannot be sure about anything else, but of this they are: a red flag for me even before we start!

As with so many unexplained things, the blame is easy to put on genetics, as it is still a relatively new science as far as understanding goes, and lots of research is still needed, so the more things you can blame on it, the better the funding.

Air pollution, environmental toxins and nutrition, all things that are exposure related!

What do we know about these? Only that we have a large history of understanding in this area, which is fairly easy to delve into. Can the recent rise in cases be linked with the timeline of toxin exposure increases? We are exposed more than ever nowadays. So we need to look at where these exposures occur!

If you are familiar with the 'Mad Hatter', you may realise that the term comes from mercury poisoning, which tends to do that! Alters brain function, makes you go mad. Milliners or hat makers used mercury in production, thus the link, mad as a hatter.

Other dreaded exposures that showed similar issues and links to brain damage and brain development impairment are lead and other heavy metal neuro-toxins.

So if we are to be fair we must look for these where they are to be found. And their ability to have an impact. Hatters with their continual exposure, were almost assured of being poisoned, as were drinkers of water from lead pipes and cooking in pewter pots. Today our exposures to these things should be far less if we are doing things right, but we still find levels of both mercury and other heavy metals in farmed and wild fish to name one.

Since we talking Autism let us look at the specific toxins linked (Google agrees with the NIH and the CDC).

Q. 'What toxins are linked to autism?' Google.

A. **'Aluminium (Al), cadmium Cd), lead (Pb), chromium (Cr), zinc (Zn), copper (Cu), nickel (Ni), arsenic (As), mercury (Hg), manganese (Mn), and iron (Fe)** have been reviewed. Exposure to toxicants has a chemical effect that may ultimately lead to autism spectrum disorder (ASD).'

Sadly both aluminium and mercury are also found in vaccines on the childhood schedule. Mercury is found in thiomersal, an ingredient used as a preservative in some vaccines. This is ethyl-mercury where fish tend to contain methylmercury. Not a big deal? I say it is still mercury and very neuro-toxic.

So both toxins are present, so the potential still exists.

Why do they say vaccines are NOT LINKED?

Do they have proof undeniable?

Of the mercury form used, they say the mechanism of ethyl-mercury is such that it is removed more quickly from the body compared to methyl-mercury. This is one supposed reason. The other is the size of the dose of it, although that too is in question. How much is needed of a neuro-toxin to effect someone?

Another crucial factor here is the method of exposure.

Fish or other edibles must pass through the digestive system and be excreted via kidneys or liver, possibly not easily going to the brain due to our effective blood/brain barrier. And the gut itself has it's own mechanisms of protection (mucus, diarrhoea, vomiting etc). The risk is less in time and ability.

Compare this to an injected assault on our system. Here we have several issues that are not found when ingesting it.

The first being the method of delivery, directly into muscle and a slower removal time (it remains in the body far longer, one of the reasons for the aluminium compound) it may also be present with a surfactant called octoxinal-9 whose purpose is to ensure the vaccine is readily taken up by the body so that it does get in! It also helps it to cross that blood/brain barrier. Not a good thing for a mercury neuro-toxin I wouldn't think.

Q. What does google say when asked, '*can octoxinol-9 help things pass the blood/brain barrier?*'

A. '*Yes, octoxynol-9 can help other molecules pass through the blood-brain barrier by disrupting it.*

It is a surfactant that can disrupt endothelial cells, which form the blood-brain barrier, thereby increasing its permeability.

Both those ingredients along with aluminium salts are found in many vaccines. Why the categorical denial that a link may exist, is confusing.

Lets look at something else that may contribute to variability in outcome between vaccinated patients (apart from individual differences in physical constitution). The practice of needle aspiration during an injection seems to have been removed. This glaring omission was seen most prolifically during the Covid vaccinations and widely commented on. No true excuse for this change is forthcoming from Authorities and one must suppose it just slowed the whole process down too much? Why else?

See Dr John Campbell. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KgVsd6qoyU4>

Once it was common practice to do this, to ensure that the needle had not pierced a blood vessel, to push the needle in and draw the plunger back a little. If there was no blood going into the syringe, you were likely safe. If you had nicked one it would show.

For an intramuscular injection this was needed, otherwise a strong dose may immediately sweep through the body, or at least far quicker end up in parts where it was not to go (heart, brain, etc).

If this has been the case for vaccinations during COVID, just how long has it been going on? Are the deliverers of childhood vaccines also encouraged not to use this procedure? Watching Dr John's video above, it would appear to have been removed quite some time ago in many countries after WHO (World Health Organisation) recommendation. It has been said that they wish to reduce the pain of an injection for children, is non aspiration a part of that? Just how many rapid adverse effects can be attributed to this? If a dose of Mercury and Aluminium laden vaccine, along with that also present surfactant, should enter

the bloodstream this way, is it not entirely possible that it has gone where it was not supposed to go?

Interviews with parents whose children suddenly became listless and unresponsive (and there are many), and were then diagnosed as Autistic, after one, two or many more of those childhood routine injections. How do you explain that? While it may be observational, there are certainly enough parents saying the same thing to be an important thing to take note of.

Ignoring or demonising those who ask these questions is commonplace. Refuting it with good studies done correctly is far too rare. When confronted, as Aaron Siri did for Robert F Kennedy this year, the studies the supporters of 'vaccine has no links to Autism' delivered to support their stance, failed to meet crucial benchmarks.

see: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DPbc4777nWY>

also: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_XPTA6Brh_0

Many of the presented trials, supposedly showing no adverse effects for these vaccines (which is very much related to our questioning of the causes of Autism), use a control or placebo injection without the active ingredient (the virus compound) but still include all the other ingredients. So if these other ingredients are creating a problem, there is no way to know if they are safe or not. They have not been tested against a true placebo, like saline!

This has been the very argument made in the US Senate hearings, where the supposed evidence of proof of no harms has failed miserably to provide the information needed to back the claims that have been being made for decades now. The deniers of harm, the regulators of safety have failed to put their money where their mouth is!

To my mind, the whole issue of modern medicine has been hijacked by interests having profit motives being put before health motives, and a government that seems happy to allow it, if not cover up for it.

To sum up, I guess it could be said that environmental pollutions are still listed as potential contributors to Autism rise, but those very same pollutants, injected into the environment of our bodies, cannot be questioned.

Something is not right here.

This whole example has been but one part of our problem, it has not addressed the forced injections of COVID nor the other forced restrictions we went through that had similar inconsistencies and scientific anomalies attached to them. Nor has it looked at all the other restrictions we are being put under as permanent impositions, like digital control mechanisms, carbon credit restrictions and the taxes that will follow them. Freedom of speech, freedom of thought, freedom to call out wrongdoings.

All these are subject to the same issues that apply when looking at the causes of Autism. One truth will only be allowed us, and increasingly it is the one desired by those whose intention is control us, not give us our freedom.

So when you see a problem, and the answer is an attack, a deflection or a change of topic, I think it is safe to say you really need to do your homework before falling into trust mode. We encounter this resistance to a populations desires as well as lack of accountability for doing the wrong thing by them. It is as if government were not just a Nanny, but a fledgling god with it's own ideas of what best suits us. Are we just cattle to be manipulated by our betters? It certainly is beginning to seem that way.

The other part of this question is that which marks many of us as Conspiracy Theorists. The trusted authorities have in this case shown contempt for the experiences of many in our population. Not just contempt, but some among them seem capable of lying about scientific studies, or at least denying that the results are different than presented. This in itself is the mark of a conspiracy to silence, knowing the harms may well be true despite the permanent damage to children (in this case) that results.

How these people act shows their moral intention as far as I am concerned. I am through making excuses for them. We must realise that not all those in places of power have our best interests at heart. I would go so far to say that there are many who have no issues with inflicting permanent damage on people. We seem to be nothing more than their play-things.

This is not a mistake of ignorance, nor is it accidental. It shows wilful determination behind the scenes to implement a policy. If they are present in this sphere of our system, then it makes sense that they are elsewhere as well.

It only takes one realisation to open a can of worms, from which there can be no backing away. It is our lack of interaction in politics, which is the prime cause of political over-reach! We must demand accountability! ***

Supporting Documentation:

What toxins are linked to autism?

Aluminium (Al), cadmium Cd), lead (Pb), chromium (Cr), zinc (Zn), copper (Cu), nickel (Ni), arsenic (As), mercury (Hg), manganese (Mn), and iron (Fe) have been reviewed. Exposure to toxicants has a chemical effect that may ultimately lead to autism spectrum disorder (ASD). Other links have also been suggested, being pesticides, BPA, phthaltes and nutritional deficiencies etc.

.....

Also found in some vaccines are surfactants: they help the other ingredients to more readily mix in. One of these is Octoxinol-9. It is a type of nonoxynol

7

On Target

December 2025

with a polyethylene glycol chain containing nine ethoxy groups, and while it has been used in spermicides, its use has been restricted in cosmetics due to safety concerns, particularly regarding its potential for skin toxicity, sensitization, and eye irritation, and the presence of impurities like 1,4-dioxane

.....
*'Another preservative used in some vaccines is thiomersal (also known as thimerosal), which is present in multi-dose vials. Thiomersal prevents germs from contaminating the vaccine vial every time a dose is taken. Thiomersal contains **ethylmercury**, a type of mercury that is rapidly cleared from the body. Thiomersal has been used safely for decades, and studies from many countries have found no evidence of harm. There is no link between thiomersal and autism.'*

'Adjuvant

Some vaccines also contain adjuvants. An adjuvant improves the immune response to the vaccine, sometimes by keeping the vaccine at the injection site for a little longer or by stimulating local immune cells.

The adjuvant may be a tiny amount of aluminium salts (like aluminium phosphate, aluminium hydroxide or potassium aluminium sulphate). Aluminium has been used in vaccines for many years. The amount of aluminium in vaccines is very small—much less than the aluminium we get from food and water. Even after receiving all their childhood vaccines, children still get far less aluminium than from their everyday diet. Extensive evidence shows that aluminium in vaccines does not cause any long-term health problems, including autism.'

<https://www.who.int/news-room/feature-stories/detail/how-are-vaccines-developed>

What environmental exposures lower IQ? (Google search I conducted)

Environmental exposures that can lower IQ include
lead, mercury, air pollution, certain pesticides, and plastic chemicals.

These toxins can be encountered through contaminated food, water, dust, and air, and they can permanently affect children's developing brains, leading to reduced intelligence, behavioural issues, and poor academic performance.

Specific environmental exposures:

Lead: Found in old paint, contaminated soil, and some consumer products, lead exposure can severely damage the brain and central nervous system, resulting in reduced IQ.

Mercury: A toxic metal found in some contaminated fish, mercury can disrupt brain development and function.

Air Pollution: Fine particulate matter and other pollutants from sources like factories and vehicles can cause neuro-inflammation and oxidative stress, harming brain development. Exposure to household air pollution during early

childhood has also been linked to lower IQ.

Pesticides: Exposure to certain pesticides, encountered through food, home use, or agricultural drift, can disrupt a child's brain development.

Plastic chemicals: Prenatal exposure to common plastic chemicals has been associated with lower IQ and worse memory.

Fluoride: Some research suggests that higher levels of fluoride exposure, particularly from water, are associated with lower IQ scores.

'Abstract

Extensive literature has already documented the deleterious effects of heavy metal toxins on the human brain and nervous system. These toxins, however, represent only a fraction of the environmental hazards that may pose harm to cognitive ability in humans. Lead and mercury exposure, air pollution, and organic compounds all have the potential to damage brain functioning yet remain understudied. In order to provide comprehensive and effective public health and health care initiatives for prevention and treatment, we must first fully understand the potential risks, mechanisms of action, and outcomes surrounding exposure to these elements in the context of neuro-cognitive ability. This article provides a review of the negative effects on cognitive ability of these lesser-studied environmental toxins, with an emphasis on delineating effects observed in child versus adult populations. Possible differential effects across sociodemographic populations (e.g., urban versus rural residents; ethnic minorities) are discussed as important contributors to risk assessment and the development of prevention measures. The public health and clinical implications are significant and offer ample opportunities for clinicians and researchers to help combat this growing problem.'

*'Mercury is another heavy metal that poses a significant health threat to children. It is of significant concern that mercury (in the form of **methylmercury**) is found in fish and shell-fish, which are readily available for consumption as part of a child's diet. In fact, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) currently advises that young children, pregnant women, and nursing women avoid the four fish (shark, swordfish, king mackerel, and tilefish) that contain high levels of mercury (FDA, 2004). FDA also advises that these individuals consume no more than 12 ounces of fish and shellfish lower in mercury per week (FDA, 2004). These cautionary guidelines are due to growing evidence from the scientific community about the potential negative health effects of accumulating mercury via diet.'*

<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4247328/>

Metabolism and Excretion: Ethylmercury is rapidly broken down and cleared from the body, with a much shorter half-life (about 3.7 days in infants).¹

- **Elimination route:** The gastrointestinal tract plays a significant role in eliminating ethylmercury.
- **Accumulation:** It does not accumulate in the body to the same extent as methylmercury because it is excreted so quickly.
- **Toxicity:** While high levels can be toxic, the rapid elimination means it is less likely to build up to harmful levels, particularly at the low doses found in vaccines

Metabolism and Excretion: Methylmercury is eliminated from the body much more slowly, with a half-life that can be up to 70 days. ¹

- **Accumulation:** It has a tendency to accumulate in the body and brain over time, especially with repeated exposure.
- **Neurotoxicity:** At high levels, it is a known neurotoxin that can cause significant harm to the nervous system, particularly the developing brain.
- (note from me: differentiating between the two and suggesting that ethyl is safer due to it's elimination being quicker, completely misses the point that the ethylmercury we are talking of is injected intramuscularly not ingested as is the case with elimination via the gut. A proportion of this neuro-toxic ingredient may well end up in the bloodstream if back pressure or direct damage to a blood vessel occurs during the injection. This has been covered in many talks regarding the now defunct injection method called aspiration of the needle. Where the syringe is pushed into the muscle, the plunger pulled back a little to ensure no blood vessels have been accidentally hit then the injection proceeds. Ignoring this procedure is said to increase dramatically the possibility that some injections find their way into the blood and around the body quickly rather than via the slow absorption that should happen. This would of course show up as an immediate reaction, not a very quick negative reaction, rather than a slow one.
- It is important to realise that these toxins are still in use and do not go through the usual toxic removal process which would be done when strictly ingesting or coming into skin contact. A direct assault internally along with the surfactants also included in the vaccines (like oxford-9) means the spread is capable of breaching the blood brain barrier. Many pharmaceutical sites talk about this ability to widely spread a drug quickly like it is a good thing. I do not believe this to be so good when considering the additives helping to spread are not just antibody agents, but preservatives and adjuvants too.)

Thimerosal, a bacteriostatic and fungistatic mercurial compound that is approximately 50% mercury by weight, has been used as a preservative in vaccines since the 1930s.³ Thimerosal is metabolized to ethylmercury and thiosalicylate. An extensive record of safe and effective use of thimerosal in preventing bacterial and fungal contamination of vaccines has been established,² but some have suggested that increased exposure to ethylmercury due to the increased number of recommended immunizations in the first 3 years of life may be associated with the increase in autism prevalence.

Fish and the thimerosal-containing vaccine (TCV) are primary sources of mercury exposure for the general population.^{4,5} Certain fish contain methylmercury, and ethylmercury is a component of thimerosal used in vaccines.⁴ Although these 2 organic forms of mercury are related, they possess distinct differences in their pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic properties. The increased lipophilicity and decreased water solubility of methylmercury contribute to its longer half-life and toxicity profile. Methylmercury is more potent than ethylmercury: the threshold for neurologic effects due to methylmercury is estimated to be approximately 200 mcg/L, whereas the threshold range for ethylmercury is 1000 to 2000 mcg/L.⁷ Methylmercury has also been historically linked to neurotoxicity.⁴ Although toxic doses of methylmercury and ethylmercury lead to similar effects in the central nervous system, pharmacokinetic differences distinguish the ultimate effects in the body. Ethylmercury is metabolized to inorganic mercury more quickly than methylmercury, and this difference in metabolism may account for kidney damage that can result from toxic quantities. Also, whereas the increase in oxidative stress and induction of apoptosis observed in vitro with large doses⁸ (i.e., 405 µg/L to 101 mg/L) of thimerosal may explain its neurotoxic effects, the effects of low-dose ethylmercury are not completely defined.⁵ Additionally, its shorter half-life allows little opportunity for accumulation of ethylmercury derived from thimerosal in vaccines.⁴

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the FDA, the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), and the World Health Organization (WHO) have established guidelines for maximum allowable daily exposures to mercury (Table 1). In 1997, the EPA decreased the suggested maximal allowable amount of methylmercury exposure from 0.5 to 0.1 mcg of mercury per kilogram per day.⁹ In 2001, more than 20 vaccines licensed in the United States contained thimerosal in concentrations of 0.003% to 0.01%.¹⁰ A vaccine containing 0.01% thimerosal contains approximately 25 mcg of mercury per

dose.² On the basis of the available childhood vaccine formulations at the time, Ball et al. calculated the maximum potential amount of thimerosal that children may have received during the first 6 months of life, also accounting for the influenza vaccine that may have been given at 6 months of age.¹⁰ It was calculated that a child potentially received approximately 200 mcg of ethylmercury from vaccines at the time, a value that exceeded the EPA's maximal allowable amount of orally ingested methylmercury.¹⁰ However, this calculation was not compared with a recognized standard for maximal allowable amounts of ethylmercury administered parenterally; in the risk assessment, the toxicity of methylmercury was extrapolated to that of ethylmercury in thimerosal.¹⁰ Furthermore, this figure remained within the allowable range of mercury stated in guidelines from the ATSDR, FDA, and WHO. It should be noted that 0.1 mcg of mercury corresponds with an adult's weekly consumption of a 198-g (7-oz) can of tuna.⁴

<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3018252/> 1

.....

(my comments: again mercury association here is more a question of how it is dealt with. The talk of kidney damage suggests to me the oral route from fish etc ingested is being compared to the injection route. Ultimately the kidneys or liver must deal with it, but the spread through the body and where it truly ends up before getting to either of these clean up areas suggests again to me an overlooking of what I believe is obvious. From the stomach and it's pathways, or from the muscle and it's pathways, are different enough to provide a huge margin of impact on our body structure, especially considering the surfactant additions that are with it and not with those ingested via food. After all, it is the express intent that this fluid in a vaccine 'does get in' (to quote Marge lemon charge)

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=suiUpX6sOGw> interviewing Mary Holland (children's health defence)LEAKED MEMO! FDA Admits COVID Vaccines Killed Children! w/ Mary Holland re covid vax deaths and poor fda regulation. FDA corruption of scientific principles. Inflammation of the brain from aluminum adjuvants.

.....

An evaluation of the effects of thimerosal on neurodevelopmental disorders reported following DTP and Hib vaccines in comparison to DTPH vaccine in the United States


David A Geier 1 , Mark R Geier

Affiliations PMID: **16766480**

DOI: 10.1080/15287390500364556

Abstract

"Thimerosal is an ethylmercury (49.55% mercury by weight) preservative historically added to some vaccines. Toxicokinetic studies showed children in the United States received doses of mercury from Thimerosal-containing vaccines (TCVs) in excess of safety guidelines. In the United States during the 1990s, diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis (DTP) and Haemophilus influenzae type b (Hib) vaccines (maximally, 50 mcg mercury per joint administration) and diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis-Haemophilus influenzae type b (DTPH) vaccines (25 mcg mercury per administration) were given to children in the same childhood vaccination schedule at 2, 4, 6, and 15-18 mo, so that children receiving DTP and Hib vaccines may have maximally received an additional 100 mcg more mercury exposure from TCVs than children administered DTPH vaccines. A case-control epidemiological study of neurodevelopmental disorders (NDs) reported to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) (online public access version; updated 31 August 2004) following administration of DTP vaccines in comparison DTPH vaccines manufactured by Lederle Laboratories (Pearl River, NY) from 1994 through 1998 was undertaken. Significantly increased odds ratios for autism, speech disorders, mental retardation, infantile spasms, and thinking abnormalities reported to VAERS were found following DTP vaccines in comparison to DTPH vaccines with minimal bias or systematic error. Additional ND research should be undertaken in the context of evaluating mercury-associated exposures, especially since in 2005 the Institute of Medicine issued a report calling into question handling of vaccine safety data by the National Immunization Program of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention." PubMed Disclaimer <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16766480/> ***



Father Coughlin: It's Time to Clear His Name By Mark Anderson

October 27 marked the 40th anniversary of the passing of the great radio priest Charles Edward Coughlin (1891-1979). But while the controlled press temporarily ceased its usual practice of throwing more dirt on his grave and fell silent about this anniversary, what's far worse is that the very church that Coughlin rescued from probable oblivion in the 1930s, and gradually built into what became the magnificent National Shrine of the Little Flower Basilica, has largely besmirched his remarkable legacy.

The church's website not only barely acknowledges Coughlin's hard-fought role in creating the church in Royal Oak, Michigan with its unique octagonal nave and its stunning tower crafted of marble from the Italian Alps, it also recycles the tiresome smears about him, especially the crippling claim that he was an unrepentant "anti-Semite." Such is the power of the propaganda that has been relentlessly disseminated over nearly a century to libel one of the most passionate priests in the history of the Catholic church. Even now, Detroit area synagogues pressure the Basilica, the press and local officials to portray Coughlin in the most unfavorable manner possible.

What was Coughlin's unforgivable sin? Via his intensely popular radio show and associated weekly newspaper, *Social Justice*, the Canadian born clergyman and gifted populist orator, from about 1930 until 1942, stepped beyond the pulpit and shook the cloistered worlds of illicit banking and power politics to their very foundations.

Although his radio programs in the latter 1920s were at first purely scriptural in nature, he had an irrepressible sense of justice and an iron constitution, with which he sought to hardwire Christianity into organic society and daily affairs. Accordingly, while utilizing his classic Irish brogue and his uniquely powerful and near hypnotic delivery, he decried the merciless, sinful and fraudulent way that the banking system shook down society and put most people in peonage and into lives of sin and decline. While declaring that the livelihoods and salvation of individuals and families were always foremost in his mind, he railed mightily against the Federal Reserve System and challenged the dark double-dealings and plutocratic propaganda that fomented both world wars. His oratory "So This is Democracy" stands as one of the most powerful anti-war speeches of the last century, perhaps of all time.

But it was his desire to see the Catholic Church reinstate its prohibition against usury and his criticisms of Jewish power over finance and politics that

got him into the most trouble. In a 1930 broadcast, Coughlin said:

We have lived to see the day that modern Shylocks have grown fat and wealthy, praised and deified, because they have perpetuated the ancient crime of usury under the modern racket of statesmanship.

This was Coughlin's most "unforgivable sin" — his measured but factual revelation of heavy Jewish control of the banking establishment, as well as deep Jewish involvement in the Bolshevik Revolution that murderously overthrew Christian Russia. For this, the notorious "anti-Semite" label that Father Coughlin endured when he was alive has, in effect, been "nailed" to his memory, to posthumously "crucify" him.

Yet, amid the constant cruel calumny hurled at Coughlin back in his day and today, no one has ever bothered to ask: Was he really an anti-Semite? Or did he simply state uncomfortable facts while bringing the message of Christ to all peoples, including the Jews, for the priestly purpose of saving souls?

For the record, Coughlin's view was that national socialism and communism were basically variants of centralized despotism, the former being nationalist and the latter internationalist in scope. So while Coughlin occasionally spoke favorably — largely before World War II — about some of Hitler's nationalist public works projects and financial strategies on a practical level, he repeatedly rejected Nazi and communist ideologies and instead sought a "Christian Americanism" that was nationalistic but was maliciously misrepresented as a species of Hitler-esque fascism. In Coughlin's 1938 broadcast, "The Rightists Go into Action," he clarified:

Is the federal government more capable and better equipped for managing the affairs [of] the various states than are the states themselves? Communists and Nazis, both of whom adhere to the principle of a strong central government, answer in the affirmative. The disciples of Washington, Jefferson and Lincoln answer in the negative. Nationals — that is, those who believe in nationalism rather than internationalism — also answer in the negative.

In his early 1939 article, "An American Christian Program," Father Coughlin, amid rising accusations that he was a Hitler disciple who virulently hated the Jews, commented on the recent Nazi "Bund Rally" at Madison Square Garden as follows:

Meanwhile the vast majority of Americans are still Americans; they are sympathetic neither with the Nazi Bund nor with the communist convention.

... Thus, if Americanism and Christianity are opposed to both Nazism and to communism, the time has come for true Americans and true Christians to organize against both.

So, clearly, the miscreant media, in its never-ending war against Father Coughlin's legacy and Christianity in general, has never bothered to read the Radio Priest's erudite articles or listen closely to his eloquent and often volcanic speeches that sold out many a concert hall and sports stadium during the Great Depression. The same can be said about those who pass as Western "historians." Granted, Father Coughlin did say that the communists had "real grievances," but he clarified repeatedly that the communists were gravely misguided in formulating their all too-often violent solutions.

For example, Father Coughlin acknowledged that communism rightly "condemns the modern system of capitalism with its legalized usury, its burdensome taxes, its monopolies of industry and its commercial wars." But, as noted, he was careful to specify that the usually accurate criticisms of the communists in regard to monopoly capitalism did not come with workable, morally sound solutions. To get to the core of the money problem, Father Coughlin wrote, among other works, the book, *Money: Questions & Answers*, a practical guide released under the auspices of the National Union for Social Justice (NUSJ), a political lobby that Coughlin founded in the Detroit area in 1934 to challenge President Franklin Delano Roosevelt's reelection, among other weighty goals.

The speeches, sermons, articles and books by Father Coughlin — who to this day is accused of merely exploiting the vulnerable masses during the Depression and giving the people "scapegoats" to hate — show that, far from being the brutish demagogue his critics portray him to be, he was actually a highly capable analyst who carefully sorted out the root causes behind the world's problems. Not only was his criticism of monopoly capitalism (as opposed to genuine free enterprise) not an endorsement of communism, he also felt that ideologies like communism and national socialism would never have found fertile soil in the first place if Christian America and the West in general would understand their true predicament and drive out "the modern moneychangers" in a Christ-like manner.

Of course, that call to mimic one of Christ's best-known actions during His ministry on Earth is mainly what brought opprobrium upon Father Coughlin. One of the biggest factors is that "polite society" refuses to face the fact that the prime movers and shakers in banking and high finance were, and still

are, disproportionately Jewish. Father Coughlin also accurately identified Leon Trotsky and scores of other Bolshevik revolutionaries as another disproportionately large Jewish cabal bent on destroying Christian civilization. But he did so because he was a defender of the Christian faith, not because he “hated” Jews. He prayed and worked for the salvation of all, including Jews, who he hoped would find Christ.

Notably, Father Coughlin’s parting of ways with President Franklin Delano Roosevelt over the “New Deal” — after his initial enthusiasm about the federal Depression-era recovery plan — turned the power of the federal government against a man of the cloth whose works had gone viral, reaching well beyond 20 million radio listeners at their peak before Coughlin was driven off the air and into obscurity. Coughlin’s fate was sealed when the Vatican pressured him to retire from his radio-based activism. FDR’s administration even went so far as to revoke Coughlin’s U.S. postal permit that had enabled him to distribute Social Justice affordably and efficiently. That killed the newspaper. And the NUSJ, by the way, was forced out of existence after just two years.

Father Charles Coughlin lived out his days in Royal Oak at the church he founded and funded with donations drummed up via his radio show, steadfastly obeying the orders of Catholic authorities to return to the daily work of a priest and put his activism aside. He passed away of heart failure on October 27, 1979, two days after his 88th birthday. He was laid to rest at Holy Sepulchre Cemetery in Southfield, Michigan, only a few yards away from the grave of Michael Gallagher, the Detroit Bishop who believed in Coughlin’s works and whose Canonical authority had protected and enabled Coughlin’s activism to endure for as long as it did. Gallagher’s passing in 1937 created a vacuum filled by a church prelate who was far less sympathetic to Coughlin.

May God rest Father Coughlin’s soul. And may he someday have his name cleared. The atrocious accusations that have been aimed at him have been taken at face value for far too long. It’s time to set the historical record straight.

MARK ANDERSON is a longtime newsman working as the roving editor for AMERICAN FREE PRESS (AFP) newspaper in Maryland. He is an occasional contributor to TBR, and frequently participates in our own Podcast ‘The Cross Roads’.

An Aberhart Broadcast

The Social Crediter Saturday, June 26, 1943

William Aberhart was Premier of the Province of Alberta, Western Canada, from 1935 until his death which occurred very shortly after he made this broadcast, transmitted on 6 May 1943. The Social Credit Government which he led swept to power in 1935, taking 56 of the 63 seats in the Provincial Legislature.

Both before the election and during his years as Premier, Aberhart mobilised support for Social Credit ideas and policies through his broadcasts which informed and encouraged the many, many Social Credit study groups which met throughout the scattered population of the province.

The Plan for World Control

A few nights ago I was listening to one of those "quiz" programmes which have become so popular with radio stations; and it struck me very forcibly that it was but another example of how people are being taught today to guess rather than to think for themselves. The kind of questions being asked were: "Who is the Minister of Agriculture?" "Is Moscow further North or further South than Quebec?" and so forth. The participant either knew the answers or he had to guess them. I cannot recall a single question that would have the effect of making people think. Has it ever occurred to you that it is becoming very much the same in regard to all phases of our national life?

For example you will recall the famous plebiscite we had recently in Canada. In it the people were asked a question, the answer to which would not commit the government to any particular course of action. The government refused to indicate what they would do if the people voted either yes or no, hence the people themselves could not possibly tell what would be the result of their decision. They had to guess.

Or take election time. As a general rule the candidates of all parties came forward with their platforms all nicely dressed up to catch votes. The people are not asked, "What do you want? Do you want security in terms of more goods and better homes? Do you want these without regimentation and bureaucracy so that you may enjoy the maximum of freedom? Do you want freedom from debt and overburdening taxation?" Oh! No, no! they are not given the opportunity of voting on anything so straightforward as that. They are asked to vote on tariffs or free-trade, on compulsory unemployment insurance under one party's bureaucracy or another party's bureaucracy, or whether they want industries nationalised, or would they prefer an international police force. In this way complicated and technical questions are put before the people, without giving them the proper information upon which to form sound opinions regarding what

the results would be for them if these things were done. In other words - they have to guess.

That is the kind of thing that is going on all the time. People are being discouraged from thinking. We are being drilled into becoming a nation of guessers - and as the men who manipulate the situation from behind the scenes know all of the answers, and the necessary information is carefully withheld from the people, the manipulators are always right and the people generally guess wrong.

Nowhere is this more strikingly demonstrated than in regard to the stuff that is dished up to us as news. Tonight I propose to deal with just one example, to show you the dangerous intrigue that is being perpetrated right under our noses.

Suppose that you pick up your newspaper some evening and read bold headlines such as these: "World Totalitarian Dictatorship by Finance Proposed as New Post-War Order - Confidence Expressed British Empire and American Governments Will Be Hoaxed Into Acceptance of Plan." What would be your reaction to that news? Would it make your blood boil? Would you feel indignant that anybody should dare to put forward treason like that while your son or your brother or your husband is over there risking his life for the ideals of democracy and our traditional British freedoms?

Well, my friends, let me tell you frankly, you have read that news in your papers, but it was not stated nearly so boldly. Possibly because what you read was complicated or was couched in altruistic language, and since you had no definite information on which to form an opinion, you just had to guess what it meant. And you probably guessed that there was nothing very sinister about it. That is what you were intended to do.

A short time ago you may remember reading in your newspaper that plans for an International Monetary Reform were published on the same day in both London, England, and in Washington, by the British and the United States Governments. These two plans were presented in the newspaper reports as simple and innocent expedients for making it easier to re-establish international trade after the war - a most desirable and worthy objective.

Strange as it may seem, though, the so-called British and American plans were supposed to have been drawn up independently, they were basically similar, and both were made known to the public on the same day. This would tend to impress the people with the spontaneity of agreement and the unanimity of purpose in the whole matter. It was another of those strange coincidences like the similarity of the Beveridge, Marsh and N.R.P.B. plans of social security which were offered to the public within a few days of each other and were identical in their main features. Well, I tell you frankly I don't believe in coincidences of that kind. They

are too weird to be genuine.

Let me draw to your attention some of the main features common to both the British and the American plans for an international money system. Both advocate setting up an international unit of money, based on gold. In one case the name "Bankor" is suggested; in the other the term "Unitas" is put forward. But what does the name matter anyway, since both plans involve control of the international money system by an international authority, which will likewise control international trade? You see it is all international - centralisation of power, etc. Both plans suggest that some such system should be set up in a hurry. Both plead its necessity on the grounds that it is essential for the purpose of averting confusion in world trade after the war. How plausible! How persuasive!

"Will you come into my parlour said the spider to the fly," sort of manner.

Lord Keynes, a director of the Bank of England, is reputed to be the author of the British scheme. He is reported as having stated that such an international monetary system might be used to finance a World Police Force. All Totalitarian Powers evidently need a Gestapo. We are not told who was the author of the American plan.

On the face of it there seems to be nothing in those schemes to unduly alarm people, does there? But that is only because the people haven't the information which would enable them to understand what an international money system controlled by an international authority, backed up by an international Police Force, would mean to them.

Listen carefully, Ladies and Gentlemen! For the past three years - in fact ever since the outbreak of war - there has been a steady stream of propaganda, carefully organised and well financed, to win support for setting up a World Federation of Nations under an International authority, to which all Nations would surrender control of finance, international trade, their armed forces and their citizenship rights. How long is it going to take for the people to realise what is going on and what it will mean to them?

conditions? Then I ask, what are you doing about it?

Now is the time to act. If we wait until the bonds are welded and this dreadful totalitarian order set up, the people of Canada will then be helpless to do anything about it if they do not like the harsh conditions that are imposed upon them. Remember that in addition to control over finance, the international authority would also have control over the Armed Forces and the citizenship rights.

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>
Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and the
Freedom Movement "Archives" :: <https://alor.org/>

On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.